

ISR 2026 — Basic Track

Jörg Endrullis

Cynthia Kop

Framke van Raamsdonk

partially based on slides by: Aart Middeldorp

hosting institution:

Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen

The Netherlands

- Part 1-2: Abstract Rewriting
- Part 3: Term Rewriting
- Part 5: Termination: Monotonic Algebras
- Part 6: Termination: Recursive Path Ordering
- Part 7: Confluence: Unification and Critical Pairs
- Part 9-10: Weak and Strong Normalization for Typed Lambda Calculus
- Part 11: Equational Reasoning and Completion
- Part 13: Termination: Dependency Pair Framework
- Part 15: Confluence: Orthogonality
- Part 16: Confluence: Decreasing Diagrams
- Part 17: Advanced Topics

Outline

- Overview
- Complexity

Question: how long does this take?

$\text{add}(0, y) \rightarrow y$
 $\text{add}(\text{s}(x), y) \rightarrow \text{s}(\text{add}(x, y))$
 $\text{mul}(0, y) \rightarrow 0$
 $\text{mul}(\text{s}(x), y) \rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$

Question: how long does this take?

$$\begin{aligned}\text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\ \text{mul}(0, y) &\rightarrow 0 \\ \text{mul}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))\end{aligned}$$

Challenge: how many steps does it take (worst-case) to reduce $\text{mul}(s^n(0), s^m(0))$ to normal form?

Question: how long does this take?

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) &\rightarrow 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{aligned}$$

Challenge: how many steps does it take (worst-case) to reduce $\text{mul}(s^n(0), s^m(0))$ to normal form?

Challenge: what if the rules are instead given as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) &\rightarrow 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{add}(\text{mul}(x, y), y)
 \end{aligned}$$

Observation: interpretations to $\mathbb{N}$

Recall: if $s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$ then $\llbracket s \rrbracket > \llbracket t \rrbracket$

Observation: interpretations to $\mathbb{N}$

Recall: if $s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} t$ then $\llbracket s \rrbracket > \llbracket t \rrbracket$

$\implies \llbracket s \rrbracket$ bounds the reduction length!

Answering the question

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) &\rightarrow 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [0] &= 0 \\
 [s](x) &= x + 1 \\
 [\text{add}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 1 \\
 [\text{mul}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 2xy + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Answering the question

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) &\rightarrow 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [0] &= 0 \\
 [s](x) &= x + 1 \\
 [\text{add}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 1 \\
 [\text{mul}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 2xy + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Challenge: how many steps does it take (worst-case) to reduce $\text{mul}(s^n(0), s^m(0))$ to normal form?

Answering the question

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) &\rightarrow 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [0] &= 0 \\
 [s](x) &= x + 1 \\
 [\text{add}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 1 \\
 [\text{mul}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 2xy + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Challenge: how many steps does it take (worst-case) to reduce $\text{mul}(s^n(0), s^m(0))$ to normal form?

At most: $\llbracket \text{mul}(s^n(0), s^m(0)) \rrbracket = 2n + m + 2nm + 1$

Answering the question

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\
 \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y)) \\
 \text{mul}(0, y) &\rightarrow 0 \\
 \text{mul}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [0] &= 0 \\
 [s](x) &= x + 1 \\
 [\text{add}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 1 \\
 [\text{mul}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 2xy + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Challenge: how many steps does it take (worst-case) to reduce $\text{mul}(s^n(0), s^m(0))$ to normal form?

At most: $\llbracket \text{mul}(s^n(0), s^m(0)) \rrbracket = 2n + m + 2nm + 1 = \mathcal{O}(n * m)$

Tight bounds?

Observation: bounds are not tight!

Tight bounds?

Observation: bounds are not tight!

$$\begin{aligned}\text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[0] &= 0 \\ [s](x) &= x + 1 \\ [\text{add}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\llbracket \text{add}(s^n(0), s^m(0)) \rrbracket = 2n + m + 1$$

Tight bounds?

Observation: bounds are not tight!

$$\begin{aligned}\text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[0] &= 0 \\ [s](x) &= x + 1 \\ [\text{add}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\llbracket \text{add}(s^n(0), s^m(0)) \rrbracket = 2n + m + 1$$

Note: an interpretation to $\mathbb{N}$ captures *cost* and *size* in one number!

Tight bounds?

Observation: bounds are not tight!

$$\begin{aligned}\text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[0] &= 0 \\ [s](x) &= x + 1 \\ [\text{add}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\llbracket \text{add}(s^n(0), s^m(0)) \rrbracket = 2n + m + 1$$

Note: an interpretation to $\mathbb{N}$ captures *cost* and *size* in one number!

Thoughts:

Tight bounds?

Observation: bounds are not tight!

$$\begin{aligned}\text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[0] &= 0 \\ [s](x) &= x + 1 \\ [\text{add}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\llbracket \text{add}(s^n(0), s^m(0)) \rrbracket = 2n + m + 1$$

Note: an interpretation to $\mathbb{N}$ captures *cost* and *size* in one number!

Thoughts:

- we only need *order* of magnitude anyway

Tight bounds?

Observation: bounds are not tight!

$$\begin{aligned}\text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[0] &= 0 \\ [s](x) &= x + 1 \\ [\text{add}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\llbracket \text{add}(s^n(0), s^m(0)) \rrbracket = 2n + m + 1$$

Note: an interpretation to $\mathbb{N}$ captures *cost* and *size* in one number!

Thoughts:

- we only need *order* of magnitude anyway
- this problem is solved by using cost-size interpretations

Tight bounds?

Observation: bounds are not tight!

$$\begin{aligned}\text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[0] &= 0 \\ [s](x) &= x + 1 \\ [\text{add}](x, y) &= 2x + y + 1\end{aligned}$$

$$\llbracket \text{add}(s^n(0), s^m(0)) \rrbracket = 2n + m + 1$$

Note: an interpretation to $\mathbb{N}$ captures *cost* and *size* in one number!

Thoughts:

- we only need *order* of magnitude anyway
- this problem is solved by using cost-size interpretations
- better techniques are also available

Derivational complexity

Definition

The **derivation height** of a term s is the largest number n such that

$$s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s_1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \dots \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s_n$$

Derivational complexity

Definition

The **derivation height** of a term s is the largest number n such that $s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s_1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \dots \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s_n$ (if such n exists; otherwise ∞)

Derivational complexity

Definition

The **derivation height** of a term s is the largest number n such that $s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s_1 \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} \dots \rightarrow_{\mathcal{R}} s_n$ (if such n exists; otherwise ∞)

Definition

The **derivational complexity** of a TRS $\mathcal{R}$ is a function dc from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$, such that:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{if } |s| \leq n \\ \text{then } \text{derivationheight}(s) \leq dc(n) \end{array}$$

Derivational complexity example

$$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(a(x))$$

Challenge: what is the derivational complexity of this TRS?

Derivational complexity explosion?

$$\begin{aligned}\text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))\end{aligned}$$

Challenge: what is the derivational complexity of this TRS?

Runtime complexity

Two kinds of symbols

$\text{add}(0, y) \rightarrow y$
 $\text{add}(s(x), y) \rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))$
 $\text{mul}(0, y) \rightarrow 0$
 $\text{mul}(s(x), y) \rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$

Runtime complexity

Two kinds of symbols

`add(0, y)` $\rightarrow$ `y`
`add(s(x), y)` $\rightarrow$ `s(add(x, y))`
`mul(0, y)` $\rightarrow$ `0`
`mul(s(x), y)` $\rightarrow$ `add(y, mul(x, y))`

Runtime complexity

Two kinds of symbols

$\text{add}(0, y) \rightarrow y$
 $\text{add}(s(x), y) \rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))$
 $\text{mul}(0, y) \rightarrow 0$
 $\text{mul}(s(x), y) \rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$

$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(a(x))$

Runtime complexity

Two kinds of symbols

$\text{add}(0, y) \rightarrow y$
 $\text{add}(s(x), y) \rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))$
 $\text{mul}(0, y) \rightarrow 0$
 $\text{mul}(s(x), y) \rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$

$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(a(x))$

Runtime complexity

Two kinds of symbols

$\text{add}(0, y) \rightarrow y$
 $\text{add}(s(x), y) \rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))$
 $\text{mul}(0, y) \rightarrow 0$
 $\text{mul}(s(x), y) \rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$

$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(a(x))$

$a(a(x)) \rightarrow a(b(a(x)))$

Runtime complexity

Two kinds of symbols

$\text{add}(0, y) \rightarrow y$
 $\text{add}(s(x), y) \rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y))$
 $\text{mul}(0, y) \rightarrow 0$
 $\text{mul}(s(x), y) \rightarrow \text{add}(y, \text{mul}(x, y))$

$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(a(x))$

$a(a(x)) \rightarrow a(b(a(x)))$

Runtime complexity

Definition

A **basic term** is a term $f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ where f is a **defined symbol** and all s_i are **ground constructor terms**.

Runtime complexity

Definition

A **basic term** is a term $f(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ where f is a **defined symbol** and all s_i are **ground constructor terms**.

Definition

The **runtime complexity** of a TRS $\mathcal{R}$ is a function rc from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$, such that:

if $|s| \leq n$
and s is a **basic term**
then $\text{derivationheight}(s) \leq dc(n)$

Examples of runtime complexity

Examples of runtime complexity

$$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(a(x))$$

Examples of runtime complexity

$$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(a(x))$$

$$a(a(x)) \rightarrow a(b(a(x)))$$

Examples of runtime complexity

$$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(a(x))$$

$$a(a(x)) \rightarrow a(b(a(x)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

Examples of runtime complexity

$$a(b(x)) \rightarrow b(a(x))$$

$$a(a(x)) \rightarrow a(b(a(x)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add}(0, y) &\rightarrow y \\ \text{add}(s(x), y) &\rightarrow s(\text{add}(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minus}(x, 0) &\rightarrow x \\ \text{minus}(s(x), s(y)) &\rightarrow \text{minus}(x, y) \\ \text{quot}(0, s(y)) &\rightarrow 0 \\ \text{quot}(s(x), s(y)) &\rightarrow s(\text{quot}(\text{minus}(x, y), s(y))) \end{aligned}$$

Proving runtime complexity using interpretations to $\mathbb{N}$

Suppose constructors c have an interpretation $[c](x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \dots + x_n + b$.

Proving runtime complexity using interpretations to $\mathbb{N}$

Suppose constructors c have an interpretation $\llbracket c \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \dots + x_n + b$.

Challenge: what can $\llbracket s \rrbracket$ be if s is a ground constructor term of size $|s| \leq n$?

Proving runtime complexity using interpretations to $\mathbb{N}$

Suppose constructors c have an interpretation $\llbracket c \rrbracket(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \dots + x_n + b$.

Challenge: what can $\llbracket s \rrbracket$ be if s is a ground constructor term of size $|s| \leq n$?

Challenge: if $\llbracket f \rrbracket$ is a **linear** polynomial, can we bound $\llbracket s \rrbracket$ for basic s of size $\leq n$?

Proving runtime complexity using interpretations to $\mathbb{N}$

Suppose constructors c have an interpretation $[c](x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \dots + x_n + b$.

Challenge: what can $\llbracket s \rrbracket$ be if s is a ground constructor term of size $|s| \leq n$?

Challenge: if $[f]$ is a **cubic** polynomial, can we bound $\llbracket s \rrbracket$ for basic s of size $\leq n$?

Other challenge: and what if $[c](x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n + b$?